SERVED: December 11, 2003

NTSB Order No. EA-5069

Docket SE-16866

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD WASHINGTON, D.C.

Issued under delegated authority (49 C.F.R. 800.24) on the 11th day of December, 2003

MARION C. BLAKEY,)

Administrator,
Federal Aviation Administration,

Complainant,

WILLIS D. HADLEY,

v.

Respondent.

ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL

On October 22, 2003, the respondent filed a notice of appeal from a decisional order the law judge served in this proceeding on September 4, 2003. Section 821.47 of the Board's Rules of Practice (49 CFR Part 821), requires that an appeal from a decision of a law judge be filed within 10 days after service of the order.

§ 821.47 Notice of Appeal.

A party may appeal from a law judge's initial decision or appealable order by filing with the Board, and simultaneously serving upon the other parties, a notice of appeal, within 10 days after the date on which the oral decision was rendered or the written initial decision or appealable order was served.

²The law judge's order granted the Administrator's motion for summary judgment on a complaint seeking the 90-day suspension of respondent's private pilot certificate (No. 002088137)

¹Section 821.47 provides, in part, as follows:

The time for filing a notice of appeal in this matter expired on September $15^{\rm th}$ (since the $14^{\rm th}$ was a Sunday). Respondent's request for additional time to file a notice, which we have treated as his notice of appeal, was not filed until October $22^{\rm nd}$, or 37 days late. The law judge's decision clearly indicated that any notice of appeal needed to be filed within 10 days after service of the order. The service date appeared on the face of the order.

Without good cause to excuse a failure to file a timely notice of appeal, or a request to file one out of time before it was due, a party's appeal will be dismissed. <u>See Administrator</u> v. Hooper, 6 NTSB 559 (1988).

ACCORDINGLY, IT IS ORDERED THAT:

The respondent's notice of appeal is dismissed.

Ronald S. Battocchi General Counsel

^{(..}continued)
pursuant to sections 91.13(a), 91.7, and 91.9(a) of the Federal
Aviation Regulations, 14 CFR Part 91.

³ Respondent's submission does not establish any basis for finding that a timely notice or extension request could not have been filed.